





FAIRMODE SPATIAL REPRESENTATIVENESS: **ANTWERP DATASET**

Bino Maiheu, Hans Hooyberghs, Wouter Lefebvre, Stijn Janssen





OVERVIEW

- » Spatial representativeness
- » Data overview
- » Measurements
- » Emissions
- » Model chain
 - » Basic description
 - » Model input
- » Virtual stations
- » Summary







SPATIAL REPRESENTATIVENESS EXERCISE

 Focus on representativeness of three measurement stations in the Antwerp Area

- » <u>Traffic site</u>
 - » Borgerhout II (street canyon location)
- » Urban background sites
 - » Antwerpen-Linkeroever
 - » Schoten





- » Measurements
 - » Telemetric stations (2012)
 - » Campaigns with passive samplers and mobile stations (2012)
- » Emissions
- » RIO-IFDM-OSPM modelresults
- » Various
 - » Population density (100m x 100m)
 - » Buildings
 - » Corine Land Use





» 26 telemetric stations, yearlong data (2012)

Industrial	16
Urban / Industrial	1
Urban / Traffic	1
Urban / Traffic street canyon	1
Urban background	6
Urban background / Industrial	1

- » Campaigns with passive samplers and mobile stations (2011 and 2012):
 - » NO₂ and PM
 - » 27 measurement periods of 14 days

Urban Background	2
Street canyon	2
Regional road	2



EMISSIONS

- » Gridded emission data on 1x1km²
 - » CO, NH₃, NMVOS, NO_x, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, So_x
 - » SNAP-sectors
- » Line sources for traffic emissions
 - » Note that these emissions are also included in the 1x1km² gridded emissions, this file denotes how these emissions are spread across the roads in the grid cells
- » Point sources
 - Annual total point source emissions for 2010 reported by the Belgian government in the scope of the CLRTAP-agreement (The 1979 Geneva Convention on Longrange Transboundary Air Pollution).
 - » Since the point source data included in the 1x1km² gridded emissions differ slightly form the point source data in this file, one must take care in combining both datasets and apply a suited double counting procedure

Snap sector	Sector Description
1	Combustion in energy production and transformation
2	Non-industrial combustion plants
3	Combustion in manufacturing industry
4	Production processes
5	Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels and geothermal
	energy
6	Solvent use and other product use
7	Road transport
8	Other mobile sources and machinery
9	Waste treatment and disposal
10	Agriculture





POINT SOURCES

Comparison of data sets

» Total emissions in domain

Ton/year	NOx	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
Local dataset (2012)	12488	425	219
CLRTAP (2010)	12589	0	0
E-PRTR (2012)	11422	106	0

Note: According to our local dataset, only 8% of the PM_{10} -emissions are emitted at point sources.

» Height of emissions

Height category	Local dataset	CLRTAP
1 (h > 45m)	6125	6100
2 (45m < h < 100m)	5530	4590
3 (100m < h < 150m)	700	135
4 + 5 (h > 200m)	60	0
Unknown		1765

- » Additional constraints:
 - » No height of stacks in E-PRTR
 - » No heat content in E-PRTR and CLRTAP
 - » Coordinates in local dataset are confidential





Description

- » Model chain: RIO-IFDM-OSPM
- » Year: 2012
- » Pollutants: NO₂, BC, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, C₆H₆, O₃

» Results

- » Gridded annual mean concentrations
- » Time series for 341 (virtual) stations





MODEL RESULTS



OVERVIEW I



Data source: http://www.atmosys.eu



Modelling UFP concentrations in Antwerp.

Hans Hooyberghs et al.

OVERVIEW II

RIO-IFDM

RIO-IFDM-OSPM





VALIDATION

- » Model chain has been validated in many campaigns
 - » City wide validation for Antwerp (NO₂)
 - » Gradient validation close to highway (NO₂)
 - » 5 chemKar campaigns for particulate matter (PM)



+

AF06 (146)

- » Underestimation of PM concentrations in street canyons (related to multiple resuspension)
- » No street canyon results for ozone (only rooftop concentrations)
- Due to the lack of benzene measuring stations, there is no RIO-background concentration. Hence, the benzene maps only show the local contribution of traffic and industrial point sources. Measurements at the Borgerhout measuring station indicate that the annual mean background concentration is approximately 0.7 µg/m³.
- The point source dataset used in the modelling exercise and the one provided in the emission data differ slightly. Due to confidentiality agreements, VITO is not allowed to disclose its (high resolution) dataset, but the emissions of this dataset are included in the 1x1km² gridded emissions. A comparison between the CLRTAP dataset and the (confidential) local point source data is provided in the appendix of the report.



VIRTUAL MONITORING STATIONS

- » Categories:
 - » ATMOSYS campaign locations (6)
 - » Telemetric stations (26)
 - » Randomly chosen locations (117)
 - » Randomly chosen street canyon locations (47)
 - Randomly chosen tunnel exit locations
 (4) [white]
 - Non-street canyon locations on concentric circles around Borgerhout stations (33)
 - Street canyon locations on concentric circles around Borgerhout stations (14)
 - » Virtual gradient measurement at three locations (30)
- » Total: 341 stations (100 in street canyon)







Questions?

EXTRA SLIDES



REGIONAL MODELLING: RIO

» Modelling technique based upon measurements



<u>41B004</u>	Brussel (Sint-Katelijne)	Bxl	59	7:00	13:00
41B006	Brussel (EU Parlement)	Bxl	62	3:00	12:00
41B008	Brussel (Belliardstraat)	Bxl	75	11:00	13:00
<u>41B011</u>	Sint-Agatha-Berchem	Bxl	56	1:00	13:00
41MEU1	Neder-Over-Heembeek	Bxl			6:30
41N043	Voorhaven (Haren)	Bxl	61	7:00	13:00
41R001	Sint-Jans-Molenbeek	Bxl	69	7:00	13:00
41R002	Elsene	Bxl	59	3:00	13:00
41R012	Ukkel	Bxl	43	3:00	13:00
<u>41WOL1</u>	Sint-Lambrechts-Woluwe	Bxl	53	7:00	13:00
4.70E+14	Vorst	Bxl	53	2:00	11:00
<u>44M705</u>	Roeselare (Haven)	Vla	41	8:00	10:30
44N012	Moerkerke	Vla	28	11:00	13:00
44N029	Houtem (Veurne)	Vla	18	3:00	13:00
44N052	Zwevegem	Vla	52	11:00	13:00
<u>47E714</u>	Dudzele	Vla	26	10:00	13:00
47E715	Zuienkerke	Vla	29	3:00	13:00
<u>42R821</u>	Beveren Waas	Vla	54	7:00	13:00
<u>42R830</u>	Doel (Scheldemolenstraat)	Vla	51	4:00	13:00
<u>42R892</u>	Kallo (sluis Kallo)	Vla	61	1:00	13:00
<u>44M702</u>	Ertvelde	Vla	46	5:00	13:00
<u>44N051</u>	ldegem	Vla	49	9:00	13:00
44R701	Gent	Vla	50	6:00	13:00
44R702	Gent (Gustaaf Callierlaan)	Vla	56	6:00	13:00
44R710	Destelbergen	Vla	49	6:00	13:00
44R721	Wondelgem	Vla	51	11:00	13:00
44R731	Evergem	Vla	46	8:00	13:00
<u>44R740</u>	Sint-Kruiswinkel	Vla	56	5:00	13:00
44R750	Zelzate	Vla	49	4:00	13:00
<u>47E703</u>	Oost-Eeklo	Vla	43	8:00	13:00
<u>47E704</u>	Wachtebeke	Vla	47	4:00	13:00
<u>47E716</u>	Mariakerke	Vla	48	9:00	13:00
<u>40AL01</u>	Antwerpen-Linkeroever	Vla	60	1:00	13:00
<u>40HB23</u>	Hoboken	Vla	65	1:00	13:00
40LD01	Laakdal	Vla	45	13:00	13:00
40LD02	Geel	Vla	23	1:00	13:00
40R833	Stabroek	Vla	46	2:00	13:00
42M802	Antwerpen (Luchtbal)	Vla	61	2:00	13:00
42N016	Dessel	Vla	36	1:00	13:00
42R801	Borgerhout	Vla	66	1:00	13:00



RIO METHODOLOGY

- » Main question: How to make reliable maps based upon the measurements ?
 - » Higher values in urban areas
 - » Lower values in rural areas
 - » Simple interpolation is insufficient
- » Solution: use of Corine land use data
- » Steps
 - Detrending: removal of land use bias in measurements Result: "homogeneous" concentrations at measurements stations
 - » Interpolation Result: "homogeneous" map of concentrations
 - » Retrending: re-adding the land use bias Result: concentration map

Fairmode intercompa



Annual mean NO2 concentrations (Belgium, 2012)







OSPM Street-canyon module



- » Plume model
- Gaussian dispersion, taking into account the stability of the atmosphere using stability classes (based on meteorological input)
- » Receptor model



» For simplicity: asymmetry of street canyon is neglected

Lefebvre, W. et al. (2011), Atm. Env., 45, p. 6705-6713

Berkowicz, R. (2000), Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 65, pp. 323-331.

PROCEDURE TO AVOID DOUBLE COUTING





Urban scale validation campaign





Lefebvre, W. et al. (2013), Atm. Env., 77, p. 325-337

Highway measurement campaign









Highway campaign, spatial validation





Highway campaign, temporal validation



NO2, weekly



BC, daily

