FAIRMODE technical meeting, Zagreb, 27-29 June 2016

Differences between new & old MQO DELTA-TOOL v5.2 vs v5.4: Oslo case

Cristina Guerreiro and Gabriela S. Santos





FAIRMODE Forum for air quality modelling in Europe

Changes in U

Short-term uncertainty:

$$U^{2} = U_{RV}^{2} (1 - \alpha^{2})C^{2} + U_{RV}^{2} \alpha^{2}RV^{2}$$

Long-term uncertainty:

$$U^{2} = \frac{U_{RV}^{2}(1-\alpha^{2})C^{2}}{N_{p}} + \frac{U_{RV}^{2}\alpha^{2}RV^{2}}{N_{np}}$$

		β	U_r^{RV}	RV	α	N _p	N _{np}
\Rightarrow	NO ₂	2	0.25	200 μg/m³	0.20	5.2	5.5
	0 ₃	2	0.18	120 μg/m³	0.79	11	3
	PM ₁₀	2	0.28	50 μg/m ³	0.13	30	0.25
\Rightarrow	PM _{2.5}	2	0.36	25 μg/m³	0.30	30	0.25



Thunis, 2016

Daily / Hourly MQO & MPC

	MQI	MQO	MPI	MPC
RMSE	RMSE β RMS _U	$MQI \leq 1$		
BIAS			$\frac{ \overline{M} - \overline{O} }{\beta \ RMS_U}$	
R			$\frac{\sqrt{2\sigma_0\sigma_M(1-R)}}{\beta RMS_U}$	$MPI \leq 1$
SD			$\frac{ \sigma_M - \sigma_0 }{\beta RMS_U}$	
Spatial R			$\frac{\sqrt{2\sigma_0\sigma_M(1-R)}}{\beta RMS_U}$	MDI ~ 1
Spatial SD			$\frac{ \sigma_M - \sigma_0 }{\beta RMS_{\overline{U}}}$	$MPT \leq 1$
Exceedances			$\frac{ M_{perc} - O_{perc} }{\beta \ (O_{perc})}$	$MPI \leq 1$
NILU			L	Thunis 2016

I hunis, 2016

Yearly MQO & MPC



Both short-term and long-term MQI are now calculated for the 90th percentile station and used as performance indicator.

The AQD approach is currently used, i.e. the MQO must be fulfilled for at least 90% of the available stations.



Thunis, 2016

Changes in MQO

			AQD		Fairmode		
		LV µg/m³	DQO %	MQO %	MQO µg/m³	MQO %	MQO at LV µg/m ³
NO	Hour	200	15%	50%	100	48%	96
	Year	40	15%	30%	12	29%	12
O ₃	8h	120	15%	50%	60	26% → 36%	31 → 43
	day	50	25%	-	-	56%	28
PIVI ₁₀	year	40	25%	50%	20	13% → 20%	5 → 9
	Day	25	25%	-	-	72%	18
P1V1 ₂₅	year	25	25%	50%	12.5	20% → 24%	5 → 6



Thunis, 2016

Benchmarking (NO₂ annual means µg/m³)



Alnabru
 BygdoyAlle
 Groenland
 Hjortnes
 Kirkeveien
 Manglerud
 Askebergveien
 E16_Sandvika

Same results in v5.2 and v5.4!

Strt/end Ind: 1-8760 Model (s): EPISODE Parameter: NO2 Scen: 2013 Extra Values: No Season: Year Day hours: All 24h Time Average: Preserved Daily stats: preserved





Performance Criteria astisfed
 Performance Criteria astisfed; Error dominated by corresponding Indicator
 TIME: 909 of statistics for Performance Criteria
 SPACE: Dot fulfills the Performance Criteria
 TIME: 909 of stations fulfills the Performance Criteria
 SPACE: Dot fulfills the Performance Criteria



Benchmarking (NO₂ hourly values µg/m³)



Performance Criteria satisfied

- Performance Criteria satisfied; Error dominated by corresponding Indicator
- TIME: > 90% of stations fulfills the Performance Criteria
- SPACE: Dot fulfills the Performance Criteria
- TIME: <90% of stations fulfills the Performance Criteria SPACE: Dot does not fulfill the Performance Criteria

Performance Criteria satisfied

- Performance Criteria satisfied; Error dominated by corresponding Indicator
- TIME: >90% of stations fulfills the Performance Criteria
- SPACE: Dot fulfills the Performance Criteria
- TIME: <90% of stations fulfills the Performance Criteria SPACE: Dot does not fulfill the Performance Criteria

Benchmarking (PM_{2.5} yearly conc. µg/m³)



Benchmarking (PM_{2.5} daily conc. µg/m³)



Almost the same results in v5.2 and v5.4!



Benchmarking (PM₁₀ yearly conc. µg/m³)



Benchmarking (PM₁₀ daily conc. µg/m³)



Almost the same results in v5.2 and v5.4!

			SUMMARY STATISTICS Nb of stations/groups: 9 valid / 12 selected			SUMMARY STATISTICS Nb of stations/groups: 9 valid / 12 selected
	INDICAT	OR			INDICATOR	
O B S	Mean			-1 ugm- -1 S	Mean	
	Exceed 50 ugm-3				Exceed	
T I M E	Bias Norm	•		<u>20</u>	Bias	
	Corr Norm	•		⊢-Z	Corr	
	StdDev Norm	•			StdDev	
	Hperc Norm	•	-2 -1.5 -1 -7 -5 0 .5 .7 1.0 1.5 2		Hperc Norm	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
S P A C E	Corr Norm	•	□ .s .7 1.0 1.5 Minor change	e 💡	Corr Norm	
	StdDeV Norm	•	-2 -1.5 -175 0 .5 .7 1.0 1.5 2	ACE	StdDeV Norm	

Conclusions

Main changes and their impacts on Norwegian results:

> Change in the implementation of the 90th percentile constraint

Very useful to integrate this criteria of the AQD into the MQO. Especially in areas with nr of stations ≠ N x 10

Update of the attenuation parameters for yearly PM₁₀ and PM₂₅

- Less stringent than previously for yearly MQO, especially for lower PM concentrations, where the beta-ray U_O is higher than the earlier used gravimetric U_O
 Estimated relative uncertainty for PMI
- Still problems with fulfilling the yearly NO₂ MQO
- Model uncertainty in the report's output

Useful indication to interpret the results.

