

Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development



WELCOME

Fairmode WG3 training session Bologna and online, 31 May 2023

Antonio Piersanti, Alexandra Monteiro Lina Vitali, Kees Cuvelier, Philippe Thunis

morning

10.30 - 11.00 Welcome and Theoretical Session

Rationale, main features and formulations of the methodology for Forecast validation included within the Delta Tool – Alexandra and Antonio

11.00 - 12.30 Training Session

- 11.00 11.20 Overview of the available Diagrams for Forecast validation Antonio
- 11.20 11.30 How to prepare a new database Kees
- 11.30 12.30 Showcase of examples of Applications Kees

12.30 – 13.30 Lunch Break

afternoon

13.30 - 14.40 Breakout groups working session

13.30 – 13.40 Description of the breakout groups working session and delivering of the working materials: both the material used during the morning and a proposal for some new exercises, together with all the expected outcomes. A list of recommended exercises, chosen from the delivered working materials, will be provided to the attendees according to their declared Delta Tool user level: beginner, intermediate, advanced – Lina

13.40 – 14.40 Attendees' exercises. A trainer will be present in each breakout group in case issues arise.

14.40 - 15.30 Questions & Answers session - closing

Questions, issues and ideas raised from attendees' personal work and/or from previous own applications.

15.30 – 16.00 Issues on preparation of individual data (optional)

Questions and issues on preparation of own input data.

Our history

2020	 the proposed indicators were tested by CT3 community Durka (IEP), L. Vitali (ENEA), A. Monteiro, C. Gama (UniAveiro), G. Giovannini, M. Stortini, R. Amorati, G. Verratti (ARPAE), A. Tanzarella (Arpa Puglia), E. Grøtting Wærsted (MetNorway), A. Bartocha (ATMOTERM), S. Vranckx and A. D'Ausilio (VITO) and CAMS Regional
2021	 feedback of the users was collected and discussed during hackathons & FAIRMODE Technical Meetings consensus was reached on the final current formulation
2022	 a new version of the DELTA Tool (7.0) was developed including the new indicators (available download at https://aqm.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.aspx) → thanks to Kees Cuvelier FAIRMODE Guidance Document on Modelling Quality Objectives and Benchmarking was produced including the new formulation (https://aqm.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC129254) → thanks to Philippe Thunis
2023	 •CT3 → the new WG3 (FAIRMODE roadmap 2023-2025) •paper submitted to Geoscientific Model Development → in revision •first Trainning Workshop (Bologna & online) → we are making history

The forecast MQO should test 3 different features of a forecast model:

Detection of the start / end of an episode (sudden changes in the concentration)



use of "persistence model" as a benchmark

Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)

use of standard threshold indicators

Air Quality Index forecast (public information and AQ Directive)



use of AQI agreement/comparison

use of "**persistence model**" as a benchmark

Target for the forecast model M is to do better than the "persistence" model P

$$MQI_{forecast} = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_i - O_i)^2}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(P_i - O_i)^2}}$$

 $MQO_{forecast}$ is fulfilled if $MQI_{forecast} \leq 1$,



use of "**persistence model**" as a benchmark

- For day D_i forecast was made at day $D_{i-forecast horizon}$. ($P_i = O_{i-1-forecast horizon}$)
- At day of the forecast, only observation from the previous day are available
- The persistence model uses these observation for all forecast horizons



Forecast horizon fh = 0, +1, +2, ... + n

use of "**persistence model**" as a benchmark

Target for the forecast model M is to do better than the "persistence" model P, taking into account the uncertainty of observations (OU)

(after some tests...) (Feb 2021)

$$MQI_{forecast} = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(M_{i} - O_{i})^{2}}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(P_{i} - O_{i})^{2}}}$$
$$P_{i} = O_{i-1-forecast\ horizon} \pm OU(O_{i-1-forecast\ horizon})$$

 $MQO_{forecast}$ is fulfilled if $MQI_{forecast} \leq 1$,

comparison with the persistence model TARGET PLOT

- Target forecast: RMSE
- Y-axis: Bias
- X-axis: CRMSE
- Left/right asymmetry:
- **Cut-off**: remove lower concentration values to put more emphasis on high episodes

their arbitrary choice influence the outcomes (MQO fulfilment) -> consensus on taking this option ultimately off from the current version



comparison with the persistence model FORECAST MPI Plot

A **new evaluation diagram** was proposed and useful to support the interpretation of results → **the Forecast MPI Plot**, where MPIs are defined for the Mean Fractional Error (MFE) statistical indicator

$$MFE_{f} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|M_{i} - O_{i}|}{(M_{i} + O_{i})}$$
$$MFE_{p} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|P_{i} - O_{i}|}{(P_{i} + O_{i})}$$

 $P_i = O_{i-1-forecast\ horizon} \pm U(O_{i-1-forecast\ horizon})$





Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)

use of **standard threshold** indicators

Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)

use of standard threshold indicators

Threshold exceedance indicators

- False Alarms (FA)
- Missed Alarms (MA)
- Good values below thr (GA_)
- Good values above thr (GA₊)
- Probability of detection: PoD = GA₊/(MA + GA₊)
- Success ratio: $SR = 1 FAR = 1 FA/(FA + GA_{+}) = GA_{+}/(FA + GA_{+})$
- Accuracy: ACC = (GA₊ + GA₋)/(GA₊ + GA₋ + MA + FA)



Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)



use of **standard threshold** indicators

• POD, SR & ACC = 1

 \rightarrow perfect model

- Sensitivity:
 - Red: threshold 1unit
 - Yellow: threshold + 1unit



Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)



use of standard threshold indicators





Summary report

13

Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)

use of standard threshold indicators

Comparison with Persistence Model: can be included by the user to better understand the results but it is not mandatory

It turned out that Persistence Model is very difficult to beat in predicting exceedances!





14

Threshold exceedances (as trigger for short term action plans)



use of standard threshold indicators

Forecast Summary Report: a different graphical layout is applied depending on the nº stations





Air Quality Index forecast (for governmental purposes)



use of AQI agreement/comparison

Daily stats: Mear

Air Quality Index forecast (for governmental purposes)



use of AQI agreement/comparison

- In the current version the plot is produced without the comparison with Persistence model (it was the same of observations column (shifted)
- Information on total number of occurrences in each AQI class but there is no information about the timing of the forecasted AQI levels
 - → future improvement (Multi-category Contingency Table?)