

vito			

DATA ASSIMILATION FOR PM25 RIO MAPS

08/10/2021

Jorge Sousa, Stijn Janssen, Stijn Vranckx



□ Air quality model: RIO

- Geospatial detrended ordinary kriging interpolation
- Uncertainty in the concentration values is available
- Hourly maps output

Low-cost sensor network:

- 1. Calibration
- 2. Data Fusion
- Sub-set: 80 sensors: https://sensors.rivm.nl/
- Uncertainties are unknown at this moment
 - 1. Sensitivity to uncertainty of sensors
 - 2. Sensitivity to sensor density

Concentration map PM25 [ug/m³]



Uncertainty map PM25 [ug/m³]





Sensor uncertainty are unknown Parametric study to overcome that.



Objective: Identity the possibilities to update maps with highly uncertain sensors



DATA ASSIMILATION: EXAMPLE FROM WEATHER FORECAST



Concentration



DATA ASSIMILATION: EXAMPLE FROM WEATHER FORECAST







 Updated state merges model and observation results by minimizing its variance (uncertainty)

8/10/2021

©VITO – Not for distribution

5



Bayesian approach for map fusion:

- Considers both model and measurement uncertainty
- Updates concentration and uncertainty values of fused map
- BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) Kalman Filter





Bayesian approach for map fusion:

- Considers both model and measurement uncertainty
- Updates concentration and uncertainty values of fused map
- BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) Kalman Filter

1) Concentration update







Bayesian approach for map fusion:

- Considers both model and measurement uncertainty
- Updates concentration and uncertainty values of fused map
- BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) Kalman Filter

1) Concentration update



2) Error update





8/10/2021

©VITO – Not for distribution



Bayesian approach for map fusion:

- Considers both model and measurement uncertainty
- Updates concentration and uncertainty values of fused map
- BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) Kalman Filter

1) Concentration update



2) Error update



3) Kalman Gain

Kalman Gain

 $K = C_{\psi\psi}^{f} M^{T} (M C_{\psi\psi}^{f} M^{T} + C_{\varepsilon\varepsilon})^{-1}$

Measurement



8/10/2021

©VITO – Not for distribution



Bayesian approach for map fusion:

- Considers both model and measurement uncertainty
- Updates concentration and uncertainty values of fused map
- BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator) Kalman Filter

1) Concentration update



2) Error update



3) Kalman Gain





4) State covariance matrix



8/10/2021

©VITO – Not for distribution



Concentration PM25 [ug/m³]



Error PM25 [ug/m³]





Initial

2

Concentration PM25 [ug/m³]

Error PM25 [ug/m³]



Updated: 50% obs. uncertainty





Initial

Concentration PM25 [ug/m³]

Error PM25 [ug/m³]



Updated: 50% obs. uncertainty



Updated: 10% obs. uncertainty







Initial

Concentration PM25 [ug/m³]

Error PM25 [ug/m³]



Updated: 50% obs. uncertainty



Updated: 10% obs. uncertainty





Sensor 71 - Isolated



- Signification weight given to sensor 71
- Outliers more critical when isolated

8/10/2021





Sensor 74 – not isolated



- Signification weight given to sensor 71
- Outliers more critical when isolated

- Few weight given to sensor 74
- Statistical less significant because of the surrounding sensors

8/10/2021



Take away messages:

Bayesian approach

- Considers both model and sensor uncertainty
- Updates mean values and uncertainty

Impact of sensor uncertainty:

- Model and observation uncertainty are compared and more weight is given to the lowest of the 2
- > The knowledge of the uncertainty for each sensor and for each hour is typically necessary

Sensor density:

- > The data fusion methodologies can provide less statistical significance to local outliers.
- Problematic when sensors are isolated.