The European Commission's science and knowledge service

Joint Research Centre

Introduction & Scope of the Intercomparison Exercise

to-do list

Oliver Kracht

FAIRMODE Technical Meeting, 19/21 June 2017, Athens (GR)

updated 07/07/2017

1

.



To-Do List:

1) Finalization of the data analysis:

1a Assessment from the results point of view:

- O. Kracht will finalize overview statistics about the miscellaneous parameters (population, mean concentration, concentration standard deviation) reported by participants in terms of aggregated data within the SR areas.
- Last consolidation of shape-files (CIEMAT)

1b Assessment from the methodological point of view:

- Update, amend and countercheck the Data/Methods matrix table (examples see next slide):
 - > It is preferable to split this Data/Methods matrix into traffic and background sites
 - I will start with some smaller adjustments based on the information available from the workshop presentations
 - > Include information about integration time scales and evaluation time scales
 - > Each participants group should then update and countercheck their parts
- > Compile **exactly** which of the files of the dataset participants used in the exercise
 - > to be completed in an Excel table (template will be provided by O. Kracht)
 - > participants will then complete (mostly simple tick-marking)



	FAIRMODE CCA-1 Spatial Representativeness Intercomparison Exercise Overview Table											
	CIEMAT	ENEA	FEA-AT	FI (consortium)	EPA	INERIS	ISSeP&AwAC	RIVM	SLB	νιτο	VMM	Tatala
	Spain	Italy	Austria	Finland	Ireland	France	Belgium	Netherlands	Sweden	Belgium	Belgium	Totais
O	(CFD-RANS)							(PCA)				
Concentrations	v		Y	¥2				v				
Monitoring Stations (nourie)	×		X	X? X2		X (only in 1st version)		X				4
Monitoring Stat. (only annual avg)			~	Χ:		x (only in 1st version)						3
Virtual Monitoring Stations (n=341)		x			х	х		x				4
raw timeseries (hourly)		x			х							2
virtual samplers						х		х				2
noisy virtual samplers												0
Concentration Maps (annual avg)			×	x		X (2)			x	X (2)	x	4 (6)
Raw Model Outputs (annual avg)			~	~		X			~	x (1)	~	1
Emissions												
Road Traffic	x					x	x		x		x	5
Domestic Heating			X (for PM ₁₀₎			Х	х					3
Industry						X	x					2
Emission Proxies												
Traffic Emission Proxies			road type "motorway"	x								2
Domestic Heating Proxies											from population	1
Industry Emission Proxies			concentration maps									1
Dispersion Conditions												
Building Geometry	X			X (?)			x		X (?)			1 (3)
Street Width	x									v	v	1
Conne Landcover Classes			(*)							^	^	3
Meteorological Data												
Wind Velocity	x			x								2
External Information				v			number of longs					•
Google Satellite Images				×			number of lanes					2
Traffic Network				^	x							1
					~							
Final Results												
Polygons		х	x	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	9
allways contiguous				х	х				х	х		4
also non-contiguous		X	x			Х	х				x	5
other types	gridded values							PCA classification				2
3 Primary Stations												
VS 216 (Borgerhout - traffic)												
NO ₂	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	11
PM ₁₀	x	x	x	x	х	x	х	x	х	х	x	11
O ₃	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	0
VS 7 (Linkeroever - background)												
NO ₂	no	x	no	х	х	х	х	no	х	х	x	8
PM ₁₀	no	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	10
O ₃	no	x	no	(X)	no	no	x	no	x	x	no	4 (5)
VS 17 (Schoten - background)				N.								
NO ₂	no	X	x	x	x	X	X	X	x	X	X	10
PM ₁₀	no	X	x	×	×	X	×	×	×	×	X po	0
03	10	^	^	^		10	^	^	^	•	10	8
8 Additional Stations												
SR area	no	x	x	no	no	x	no	no	no	x	no	4
classifications	no	no	x	no	no	no	no	x	no	no	no	2

		used for the background stations	used for the traffic station	
		(nlesse insert on X for the files	(please insert an X for the files	
		vou have used)	vou have used)	Comments
Folder 1		you have usedy	you have ascay	
Measurements of the Antwern monitoring stations for the				
vear 2012				
,	hc csy			
	hty csy			
	General info csv			
	meteo csv			
	no2.csv			
	0700.05V			
	pm10.csv			
	pm25.csv			
	so2.csv			
Folder 2				
Measurements of of the ATMOSYS sampling campaigns with nassive samplers and mobile stations				
F	dataPart1_atmosusPM_csv			
	dataPart2_atmosysPM.csv			
	general info atmosys NO2 csv			
	general info atmosystwoz.csv			
	measurements atmosys M.c.sv			
	incustrentents_utilosystex.csv			
Folder 3				
Gridded yearly mean concentration data from the RIO-IFDM- OSPM model				
	BC.asc			
	C6H6.asc			
	NO2.asc			
	O3.asc			
	PM10.asc			
	PM25.asc			
Folder 4				
Time series from virtual monitoring points				
	BC timeseries csv			
	C6H6 timeseries.csv			
	NO2 timeseries.csv			
	O3 timeseries.csv			
	PM10 timeseries.csv			
	PM25 timeseries.csv			
information on coordinates and SC / no SC classification				
	virtual stations.csv			
				1.
Folder 5				
Emission datasets in the region				European
4	CO OPS 2012 0 csv		*	** Commission
	CO_0F3_2012_0.05V			C011111331011

To-Do List:

3) Dissemination:

Some little input needed from everybody for the HARMO18 contribution. I will come back to you in July/August about the information I need from your side.

4) Collection of conclusions from the workshop:

- I propose that all IC exercise participants (+ others who would like to) prepare a short statement / personal summary of the workshop with
 - > conclusions drawn from the exercise results and workshop discussions
 - > comments
 - suggestions
- > ca 1 pages Word file (≥ 1 pages would be on your own choice \odot)
- This could also include comments / statements about aspects for which during the workshop it has been identified that in fact different points of view exist and which would therefore require a closer look in future working steps (e.g., should SR area be contiguous?, should SR area be exclusive?, etc.)

All participants are requested to prepare a short statement with their conclusions drawn from the exercise results and workshop discussions, including comments and suggestions. O. Kracht will provide a first draft summary in order to streamline this process.



To-Do List:

5) For the preparation of the JRC Report:

- Participants will update and harmonize the methodological description files These descriptions should be included into the ANNEX of the report.
 - > Oliver Kracht will prepare a Word-template that could be used by all participants
- > Obligatory slides from the workshop should be included into the report, too.

> the aforementioned Word-template will be used to integrate finally updated / consolidated versions of the obligatory slides. Oliver Kracht will coordinate the process in terms of consistency / consistent understanding of the questions etc.

The To-Do List is probably not exhaustive and we will possibly identify further actions in the upcoming weeks.



<u>Outlook</u>:

Mid Term Future (beyond this current project):

- > Sensitivity analysis on parameter values used in the similarity criteria
- Sensitivity analysis on the choice of additional criteria (i.e. should SR area be contiguous or not)
- > How can SR methods be inverted in order to find optimal station positions?
- Subsequent (mid-term) objective the SR group should be working towards creating a set of guidelines and guidance for determining of the area of representativeness.
- This objective likely requires first establishing a common framework for SR definitions and SR similarity criteria, and for harmonizing the related terminologies.

This Outlook certainly reaches beyond the current project, i.e. beyond 2017. It is thus **not part of the current to-do list**. I nevertheless listed these topics here, as I have protocolled them as part of the suggestions and conclusions discussed during the workshop.

